

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Anthony Burnside, #259648,)	
)	C.A. No.: 6:04-22609-HMH-WMC
Plaintiff,)	
)	OPINION AND ORDER
vs.)	
)	
William M. White, Warden; Raymond)	
Reed, Associate Warden; D. Beckwith,)	
Captain; Lt. Roderick, Lieutenant;)	
Sgt. F. Thomas, Sergeant; Sgt. S.)	
Toland, Sergeant,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge William M. Catoe, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02 DSC.¹ Anthony Burnside (“Burnside”), proceeding pro se, filed a complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. In his Report and Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Catoe recommends granting the defendants’ motion for summary judgment.

Burnside filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party’s right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th

¹ The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Cir. 1984). In the absence of *specific* objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that Burnside's objections are non-specific and unrelated to the dispositive portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. Therefore, after a thorough review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that the defendants' motion for summary judgment is granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Henry M. Herlong, Jr.
United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina
June 10, 2005

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this order within thirty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.